## **UNIV 401/402**

## **Undergraduate Research Evaluation Form:** *Work-In-Progress Presentations*Return to the speaker when complete.

Title:  Speaker:  Enter five (5) comments, one for each of the five categories listed below.			
		Criteria:	Comments:
		<ul> <li>1. Introduction</li> <li>Sufficient background information and review of previous work was provided that justifies completion of the research</li> <li>Basic terminology defined</li> <li>Objectives and research hypothesis clearly stated</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2. Methods and Materials</li> <li>Sufficient information provided for audience to understand research methods being implemented</li> <li>Research methods and/or experimental design clearly explai and linked to objectives</li> </ul>	n,		
<ul> <li>3. Progress-To-Date</li> <li>Work accomplished was presented in an organized manner that was easy to understand</li> <li>Status of the project is clear</li> <li>Changes and/or modifications to project scope and objectives were explained and justified</li> </ul>	S		
<ul> <li>4. Path Forward</li> <li>Remaining work and resource needs identified</li> <li>Remaining project schedule accommodates completion of objectives</li> <li>Potential risks recognized along with contingency plans</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>5. Overall Presentation</li> <li>Presenter demonstrated enthusiasm and kept audience interest</li> <li>Visual aids were effectively used to enhance key points throughout the presentation, and did not distract from the speaker</li> </ul>			
- Powerpoint slides (if used) were well-laid out, easy to understand, and complemented but did not replace what the speaker was saying			

## **Additional Comments and Suggestions:**

professional manner

Answers to questions were articulated clearly and in a

Please write on back if more room is needed.